

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,627	01/15/2004	Donato L. Ricci	20030153.ORI	6111
23595 75	590 07/01/2004		EXAM	INER
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 820 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			FRIDIE JR, WILLMON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/758,627	RICCI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Willmon Fridie	3722			
The MAILING DATA Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the n  - If the period for reply specified ab  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or e	THIS COMMUNICATION.  ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  nailing date of this communication.  ble is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  above, the maximum statutory period water deep the statutory period for reply will, by statute  ater than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDO g date of this communication, even if timely from	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 4/9/0	) <b>4</b> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above class 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/	<u>0-12</u> is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10) The drawing(s) filed	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (P' 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date	t Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Double Patenting

Claims 1-5,10-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-16 of U. S. Patent No. 6447220 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a portable boring machine having a boring bar. mounting brackets, an annular cutting head, a first drive means, second drive means and control means.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3-5,7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marron.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,627 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

Marron discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is identical to the invention as broadly recited. Some of the claimed elements clearly disclosed by the reference are: a portable boring machine having a boring bar(26), mounting brackets(23), an annular cutting head (35), a first drive means (153), second drive means (211) and control means ( see column 4, lines 64-68).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marron.

The specific type of motors used would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a skilled artisan in view of the teachings of Marron, see column 5, lines 9-1 and column 4, lines 64-68.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3722

Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 703-308

1866. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 703 -308-2159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3722

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER